

REMARKS

This paper is being provided in response to the Final Office Action mailed June 14, 2005, for the above-referenced application. In this response, Applicant has cancelled claims 3, 4, 9 and 10 (claims 2, 8 and 12-19 having been previously cancelled) without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter thereof and amended claims 1 and 6 to clarify that which Applicant considers to be the invention. Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to the claims are fully supported by the originally-filed specification.

The objection to the amendment made to page 12, line 9 of the specification, as filed on May 9, 2005, as introducing new matter is traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested. The amendment to the text of the specification simply describes that which is illustrated in Figure 3 of the application and which is already part of the specification. In particular, the Office Action appears to suggest that a statement in the specification concerning the distal end of the layers 65 and 66 being located below either the sidewall 53 or the sidewall offset 54 is inconsistent with the amendment describing Figure 3. Applicants respectfully traverse this conclusion and specifically direct attention to, for example, page 9, lines 11-16 and page 12, lines 11-14 in which is disclosed that the sidewall offsets 54 make it possible to keep the source and drain diffusion layers 65 and 66 of NMOS and PMOS transistors 10 and 20 away from edges of the gate electrodes 52. The implication in the Office Action that there is no distinction made between the sidewall 53 and the sidewall offset 54 as to defining the structure of the source and drain diffusion layers 65 and 66 is clearly belied by the above statements in the specification that disclose a structural relationship of the sidewall offsets and diffusion layers concerning the spacing between the edges of gate electrodes and the edges of the diffusion

layers. The amendment to the specification states that the lateral dimensions of the heavily doped source and drain diffusion layers 65 and 66 disposed at the surface of the substrate are approximately equal to and aligned with lateral dimensions of the sidewall offsets 54. As noted below, Applicant has clarified that the alignment is in a vertical direction. These features are plainly illustrated in Figure 3 and supported by the text of the specification noted above. Consequently, Applicant submits that the amendment is fully supported by the specification and respectfully request that this objection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

The objection to the Figures has been addressed by the cancellation of claims 3, 4, 9 and 10 herein. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that this objection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 1, 5-7, 11 and 20-23 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is hereby traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested. As noted in detail above, Applicant submits that Figure 3 supports the recitation of at least one second heavily doped drain and source diffusion layer that is disposed at said surface of said semiconductor substrate has a lateral dimension along the surface of said semiconductor substrate that is approximately equal to and aligned with the lateral dimension of said sidewall offset in a vertical direction. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

The rejections of claims 3-4 and 9-10 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and second paragraph, have been rendered moot by the cancellation of these claims herein. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 1, 3-4, 6, 9-10, 21 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,545,575 to Cheng et al. (hereinafter "Cheng") is hereby traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments to the claims contained herein. Claims 3-4 and 9-10 have been cancelled herein.

Independent claim 1, as amended herein, recites a semiconductor device including a semiconductor substrate, an insulating film formed at a surface of the semiconductor substrate for defining device regions in each of which a semiconductor device is to be fabricated, and a gate electrode formed on the semiconductor substrate, the gate electrode and the insulating film defining at least one lightly doped first drain and source diffusion layer. At least one sidewall covers the gate electrode. At least one heavily doped second drain and source diffusion layer is formed at a surface of the substrate and contacted by the lightly doped first drain and source diffusion layer on at least a bottom and a lateral side. The sidewall has a sidewall offset connected thereto and extending by more than the thickness of the sidewall. The lightly doped first drain and source diffusion layer extends towards the gate electrode beyond an edge of the sidewall offset, and the heavily doped second drain and source diffusion layer extends below said sidewall offset but is spaced outwardly away from an edge of the gate electrode in a direction along said surface of said semiconductor substrate. Further, all of the at least one heavily doped second drain and source diffusion layer that is disposed at said surface of said semiconductor substrate has a lateral dimension along the surface of said semiconductor substrate that is approximately equal to and aligned with the lateral dimension of said sidewall

offset in a vertical direction. Claims 5, 20 and 21 depend directly or indirectly on independent claim 1.

Independent claim 6, as amended herein, recites a semiconductor device including a semiconductor substrate, an insulating film formed at a surface of the semiconductor substrate for defining device regions in each of which a semiconductor device is to be fabricated, and a gate electrode formed on the semiconductor substrate, the gate electrode and the insulating film defining at least one lightly doped first drain and source diffusion layer. There is at least one sidewall covering the gate electrode, and at least one heavily doped second drain and source diffusion layer formed at a surface of the semiconductor substrate around the gate electrode, wherein the first drain and source diffusion layer contacts the second drain and source diffusion layer on at least a bottom and a lateral side. The sidewall has a sidewall offset connected thereto and extending outwardly of the gate electrode along the surface of the semiconductor substrate in at least one region below which the at least one second drain and source diffusion layer is formed. The sidewall offset extends along a lateral surface of the gate oxide film on which the gate electrode is formed by an amount that is greater than the thickness of the sidewall. Low-resistive wiring layers are formed at the surface of the drain and source diffusion layers and located outwardly beyond a peripheral edge of the sidewall and offset in at least one drain and source diffusion layer. The lightly doped first drain and source diffusion layer extends towards the gate electrode beyond an edge of the sidewall offset, and the heavily doped second drain and source diffusion layer extends below said sidewall offset but are spaced outwardly away from an edge of the gate electrode in a direction along said horizontal surface of said semiconductor substrate. Further, all of said at least one second drain and source diffusion layer that is disposed

at said surface of said semiconductor substrate has a lateral dimension along the surface of said semiconductor substrate that is approximately equal to and aligned with the lateral dimension of said sidewall offset in a vertical direction. Claims 7, 11, 22 and 23 depend directly or indirectly on independent claim 6.

The Cheng reference discloses an insulated gate semiconductor device having gate electrodes, and a source region 57 nested inside source region 43, etc. Openings in a layer of dielectric material 63 expose portions of the source/drain diffusion regions to form silicide 64. (See Abstract; col. 5, lines 39-67; and Figures 7 and 15 of Cheng).

Applicant's independent claims, as amended herein, recite a semiconductor device having at least the feature of at least one lightly doped first drain and source diffusion layer extending towards said gate electrode beyond an edge of said sidewall offset, and at least one heavily doped second drain and source diffusion layer extending below said sidewall offset but spaced outwardly away from an edge of the gate electrode in a direction along said horizontal surface of said semiconductor substrate, and *wherein all of said at least one heavily doped second drain and source diffusion layer that is disposed at said surface of said semiconductor substrate has a lateral dimension along the surface of said semiconductor substrate that is approximately equal to and aligned with the lateral dimension of said sidewall offset in a vertical direction.* The sidewall offset prevents the second diffusion layer from being exposed at a surface of the semiconductor substrate and, accordingly, it is possible to prevent the low-resistive wiring layer from abnormally growing above the second diffusion layer. In addition, since the sidewall offset is formed only above the second drain and source diffusion layer, unnecessary increase of a chip

area is prevented. This structure of the present claimed invention makes it possible for the source and drain diffusion layers, by being outwardly spaced away from the edge of the gate electrode of a transistor, to have a high breakdown voltage and thereby prevents generation of a leakage current between bands. (See page 9, lines 11-16 and page 12, lines 11-14 of the present specification.) For purposes of example only, Applicants refer to Figure 3 of the present specification in which is shown gate electrode element 52 having a sidewall 53 and sidewall offset 54, and in which heavily doped source and drain diffusion layers 65 and 66 include portions disposed at the substrate surface and have lateral dimensions that are approximately equal to and aligned with a lateral dimension of a sidewall offset 54 extending in a direction along the substrate surface.

Applicant respectfully submits that Cheng does not teach or fairly suggest at least the above-noted features as claimed by Applicant. The Office Action cites Figures 7 and 15 of Cheng. In Figure 15, the Office Action identifies lightly doped source and drain regions, 77' and 78', heavily doped source and drain diffusion layers 82' and 84' and sidewall 66. However, it is readily apparent from this figure that the sidewall 66 extends further along the substrate surface than the portions of layers 82' and 84' disposed at the substrate surface. The lateral dimension of the portions of layers 82' and 84' disposed at the substrate surface are not approximately equal to and aligned with the lateral dimension of a sidewall offset in a vertical direction, as is claimed by Applicant.

Moreover, in Figure 7 of Cheng, the dopant regions, see regions 57 and 58, extend beyond the peripheral edges of the sidewalls and the lateral portions disposed at the

semiconductor substrate surface are not aligned with the sidewall offset. Cheng's dopant regions 57 and 58 extend below so as to overlap vertically with the gate electrode portions 49 and 52, but do not have approximately equal dimensions or nor are aligned with a lateral dimension of a sidewall offset in a vertical direction. Applicant respectfully submits that Cheng does not teach or fairly suggest at least the above-noted features as claimed by Applicant. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

In rejecting the claims over Cheng, the Office Action (pages 10-11) states that alignment of two elements is a relative term and can be considered in any direction and further states that Applicant's claims, as recited, does not require that all of the said at least one second drain and source diffusion layer has a lateral dimension along the surface of said semiconductor substrate that is approximately aligned with the lateral dimension of the sidewall offset in the vertical direction. Applicant has amended claims 1 and 6 herein to recite that, as illustrated in Figure 3 of the present specification, the lateral dimension of the second drain and source diffusion layer is approximately aligned with the lateral dimension of the sidewall offset in the vertical direction. Applicant refer to the discussion above concerning the support for this feature. Accordingly, for the reasons discussed herein concerning Cheng, Applicant respectfully submits that Cheng does not teach or fairly suggest at least the above-noted features as claimed by Applicant.

The rejection of claims 5, 7, 11, 21 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,316,977 to Kunishima et al. (hereinafter "Kunishima")

is hereby traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments to the claims contained herein.

The features of independent claims 1 and 6 are discussed above with respect to the Cheng reference. Claims 5, 7, 11, 21 and 23 depend therefrom.

Kunishima discloses a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising metal silicide. The Kunishima reference is cited by the Office Action as disclosing a silicide layer comprising titanium silicide, using a semiconductor device as a CMOS device, and a sidewall entirely covering the gate electrode.

Applicant respectfully submits that Kunishima fails to overcome the above-noted deficiencies of the Cheng reference with respect to Applicant's claimed invention. Kunishima does not disclose the configuration and dimensions of a sidewall offset and heavily doped source and drain diffusion layers as described above with respect to Applicant's claims. As seen in Figure 3B of Kunishima, Kunishima discloses only a shallow diffusion layer 17, does not disclose a sidewall offset, and consequently, does not disclose a portion of a heavily doped second source and drain diffusion layer disposed at surface of a semiconductor substrate having a lateral dimension that is approximately equal to and aligned with the lateral dimension of a sidewall offset. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that neither Kunishima nor Cheng, taken alone or in any combination, teach or fairly the above noted features as claimed by Applicant. In view of the above, Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 20 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,439,835 to Gonzalez (hereinafter "Gonzalez") is hereby traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments to the claims contained herein.

The features of independent claims 1 and 6 are discussed above with respect to the Cheng reference. Claims 20 and 22 depend therefrom.


Applicant respectfully submits that Gonzalez does not overcome the above-noted deficiencies of Cheng with respect to the Applicant's presently claimed invention. Gonzalez discloses a gate electrode, sidewall and sidewall offset and drain and source regions (see, for example, Figures 7-9 of Gonzalez), but the sidewall of Gonzalez below which are positioned multiple drain and source regions does not include a sidewall offset. Further, as seen for example in Figures 8 and 9, Gonzalez's N-implant region 81 has a portion under a sidewall; however the region disposed at the semiconductor substrate surface further extends laterally along the substrate surface beyond the sidewall. Consequently, Gonzalez contains no disclosure of a sidewall offset that is aligned with a heavily doped drain and source diffusion layer and wherein all of said at least one heavily doped second drain and source diffusion layer that is disposed at said surface of said semiconductor substrate has a lateral dimension along the surface of said semiconductor substrate that is approximately equal to and aligned with the lateral dimension of said sidewall offset. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that neither Gonzalez nor Cheng, taken alone or in any combination, teach or suggest at least the above

features as claimed by Applicant. In view of the above, Applicant respectfully requests that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Based on the above, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all outstanding rejections and objections. Favorable consideration and allowance are earnestly solicited. Should there be any questions after reviewing this paper, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 508-898-8601.

Date: September 7, 2005

Muirhead and Saturnelli, LLC
200 Friberg Parkway
Suite 1001
Westborough, MA 01581
Phone: (508) 898-8601
Fax: (508) 898-8602

Respectfully submitted,
MUIRHEAD AND SATURNELLI, LLC

Donald W. Muirhead
Registration No. 33,978